Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	marı/	10/658,525	YOSHINO ET AL.	
Examiner-initiated interview Sumi	iiai y	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Pamela R. Schwartz	1774	
All Participants:		Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Pamela R. Schwartz</u> .		(3)		
(2) Mr. Connor.		(4)		
Date of Interview: 19 April 2006		Time:		
	☐ Applicar 〗No	nt's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: Obvious double patenting (see the attached action)				
Claims discussed: 44-51				
Prior art documents discussed: none				
Part II.				,
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Discussed whether or not related cases contained a repatented cases.				er the related
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appro	priate)